

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	07/110,791	KING ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Stephen L. Rawlings	1643	
All Participants:	Status of Application: _____		
(1) <u>Stephen L. Rawlings</u> .	(3) _____.		
(2) <u>Gary Connell</u> .	(4) _____.		
Date of Interview: <u>16 July 2010</u>	Time: _____		
Type of Interview:	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Telephonic <input type="checkbox"/> Video Conference <input type="checkbox"/> Personal (Copy given to: <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant <input type="checkbox"/> Applicant's representative)		
Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No		
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____			
Part I.			
Rejection(s) discussed:			
N/A			
Claims discussed:			
71-91			
Prior art documents discussed:			
N/A			
Part II.			
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:			
<i>The Examiner proposed entry of an examiner's amendment, which would place this application in condition for allowance; Mr. Connell authorized entry of the proposed amendment.</i>			
Part III.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. <input type="checkbox"/> It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.			
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)			